

Recommended methods to review assessment data columns are located in **Properties** panels of the Assessment Data editor:

- Column Properties panel ٠
- Tools section of Properties panel

By default, the Column Properties panel is hidden. To open this panel, click once on the Column Properties tab to open the panel, and then click once on the auto-hide pin 🖽 to lock the panel in an open III position. The Properties panel should automatically open, but use this same method if this panel is hidden too.

Recommended practices are to:

- Review new assessments while still at the trial site, to • most efficiently verify whether any unusual values may be from a data entry mistake or a possible site (nontreatment) effect.
- Use **Column Properties** to review for entry mistakes or violations of AOV assumptions.
- Use Tools section for detailed review:
 - AOV to fully analyze assessments, and verify if observed treatment differences are supported by analysis.

- Box-Whisker graph to review treatment stability and visualize treatment differences.
- Assessment Map to identify differences that may be site-linked rather than treatment-linked.

Column Properties panel

The Column Properties panel provides:

- a) Overview of data entered in current data column.
 - i) Previous/Next buttons to move between columns
 - Column flags to explain whether data is: ii)
 - Original = directly entered into a data column - Calculated = created using a data transformation formula
 - Changed = an unsaved change in data column
 - iii) Range of data values:
 - Min/Max = data limits that are active for column
 - Low/High = smallest and largest values present in column, including subsamples (if present)
- b) Search tool to find statistical outliers according to different outlier tests and options.
- c) Refresh button to recalculate a column after edits.
- Descriptive statistics from full analysis of data column. d)
 - Least Significant Difference between treatment means i) at current AOV significance level
 - ii) Standard Deviation and Coefficient of Variation calculated from AOV residual error
 - iii) Grand Mean = mean across all treatments.

| 5 | * | Column 5 Properties 4 | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| D Disease | | Previous | Next | | | | |
| SEPTTR | | Column Flags: 0 | Inginal | | | | |
| Speckled leaf b | | Min/Max entry: 0 | 100 | | | | |
| TRZAW | E | Low/High value: 0 | .00 15.00 | | | | |
| Winter wheat | | Descriptive Statistic | Refresh | | | | |
| | | LSD P=.05: | 2.598 | | | | |
| 10 1 2014 | | Standard Deviation: | 1.686 | | | | |
| 18-Jun-2014 | | CV | 54.387 | | | | |
| PESSEV | | Grand Mean: | 3.1 | | | | |
| % | | P(Bartlett's X2): | 0.004 | | | | |
| 10 | | P(Friedman's X2): | 0.078 | | | | |
| 2 - | | Skewness | 1.8499 | | | | |
| ۷ 🖕 | | Replicate Prob(E): | 0.6123 | | | | |
| 5 | * | Treatment Prob(F): | 0.0004 | | | | |
| 0.80 | | 1 | | | | | |
| 0.00 | = | Does not me | et assumptions of | | | | |
| 2.00 | | variance/ske | wness/kurtosis | | | | |
| 1.00 | | | Bir | | | | |
| 0.00 | | Outliam | | | | | |
| 1.00 | | Box-Whisker | | | | | |
| 0.00 | | >+/- 2 standard deviations from | | | | | |
| 0.00 | | grand mean | | | | | |
| 3.00 | | >+/- 3 standard deviations from grand mean | | | | | |
| 5.00 | | | | | | | |
| 7.70 | | Skip damaged assessments Based on subsample values Find Next | | | | | |
| 6.00 | | | | | | | |
| 11.00 | | | | | | | |



- e) Whether data violates any AOV assumptions.
 - i) Levene's Prob(F) = evidence that at least one treatment has a different variance, thus violating AOV assumption that each treatment has approximately the same variance.
 - ii) P(Friedman's X2) = evidence that at least one treatment is significantly different based on Friedman's method for randomized blocks
 - iii) Skewness and Kurtosis = results of normality tests, showing evidence that data distribution is "skewed", or that peak of data distribution is flatter or sharper than a normal distribution.
- f) A warning panel displays when data violates assumptions of AOV, with a Fix button to use automatic corrections (data correction transformation, or exclude one: check treatment, other treatment, or replicate).

Oces not meet assumptions of AOV: data has heterogeneity of variance/skewness/kurtosis

Assessment Map

The Assessment Map displays assessment data values on a trial map, to help identify whether assessment differences may be influenced by "spatial effects" that can occur from variations within the trial site.

Differences in assessment values are indicated by color intensity. Lower values display in lighter colors than higher values. Select "Color description" tab to display a color key showing range of assessment values represented by each color.

For example, if crop yields seem consistently lower in one corner of a trial, it may indicate a lower quality soil in that portion of the trial. Spatial effects can also occur from an underground drainage tile line below a portion of the trial, or a variation in pest densities across the trial.

To help identify why the box for treatment 2 in data column 3 is distorted, display the assessment map for data column 3. Notice that the value for treatment 2 "Tub.5" in replicate 3 is substantially darker than in the other replicates. It is likely that replicate 3 is causing the distorted box on this graph.

Confirm this by changing the View Options setting "View subsamples" to

| assessment data by treatment, and then reviewing treatment 2 for data column 3: | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|-----|------|--|
| Rp | Bk | Col | Plot | Trt | 3 | |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 104 | 2 | 1.30 | |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 201 | 2 | 0.80 | |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 302 | 2 | 3.90 | |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 403 | 2 | 1.70 | |

"Plot experimental unit Means", sorting



Notice that the value in replicate 3 is more than twice the value in other replicates. Keep in mind that these values are all quite low, so while a difference of > 2% is *measureable*, for this situation it is *not meaningful*.



Box-Whisker graph

Box-Whisker displays a box-whisker graph for the current data column using current graph option settings.



General benefits of using a box-whisker graph to review data are:

- a) Box height shows amount of variability within a treatment, thus is a measure of assessment consistency or "stability" of each treatment.
- b) Waist position indicates whether variability is skewed, when one replicate is somewhat higher or lower than others. For example, when waist is "low", then a value in one of the replicates is somewhat higher than in other replicates. The box at right is treatment 2 from data column number 3 in example trial G-All7_Fung.



- c) Provides a visual mean comparison, since treatments where boxes overlap are probably not significantly different.
- d) Simplest method to identify heterogeneous treatments, indicated when height of treatment boxes are substantially larger or smaller than other treatments.

In the example at right, the height of the box for "Untreated check" treatment 1 in data column 7 is much taller than the other 4 treatments. Levene's homogeneity test for data column 7 indicates 91% chance of heterogeneity, with significant skewness and kurtosis (non-normality).



AOV Means Table

AOV Means Table displays a preview of the AOV Means Table report beginning at the current data column, using current report options. To change AOV Means Table report options:

- a) Click the Printer icon on toolbar (or click File Print Reports).
- b) On left, click on AOV Means Table report under Available Reports.
- c) On right, set report options as desired. Here are recommended defaults to use:
 - i) Use a mean comparison method that maintains the selected significance level regardless of how many treatments are in the trial.
 - Performs a "protected" mean comparison test, so mean comparisons are only performed when Treatment P(F) indicates that "at least one treatment appears to be significantly different".
 - iii) Tests for heterogeneity, skewness, or kurtosis. These indicate whether the data violates assumptions for analysis of variance and should be corrected to ensure adequate precision from the mean comparison test.

| Pest Name Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Number of Subsamples | | | Speckled leaf> LEAF3 P Jun-18-2018 PESSEV % 10 |
|--|---------------------|---------------|---|
| Trt-Eval Interval | | | 15 DA-B |
| Trt Treatment No. Name | Rate | Rate Unit | 5 |
| 1 Untreated Check | | | 8.25 a |
| 2 Tub | 0.5 | l/ha | 1.83 b |
| 3 Tub | 1 | l/ha | 1.46 b |
| 4 Tilt 250 | 0.5 | l/ha | 2.30 b |
| 5 M ico 60 Fungol | 1.5 1.25 | l/ha l/ha | 1.67 b |
| LSD P=.05 (% mean diff) Standard Deviation CV Levene's F Levene's Prob(F) Ske wness Kurtosis Minimum Replicates (pov Largest Mean Difference | ver = 80 (% m ea |) in diff) | 2.598 (84%) 1.686 54.39 3.29 0.04* 1.8499* 2.6407* 2.6407* 6.785 (219%) |
| Replicate F Replicate P rob(F) Treatment F Treatment Prob(F) | | | 0.625 0.6123 11.778 0.0004 |

| OV Means Table | e Report Options | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--------|----------|------|--|--|
| Report options | General Summary | Report P | review | | | | |
| Mean compari | son test | | | | | | |
| Test: Student-Newman-Keuls ~ | | | | | | | |
| Significance o | Significance or alpha level: | | | | | | |
| | | | | 5 | /o * | | |
| Use FAOV | complete error for s | split-plot tria | ls | | | | |
| Only when | significant AOV Tre | eatment P(F | -) | | | | |
| Symbol indicat | Symbol indicating no significant difference between treatment means: | | | | | | |
| Adjusted treat | ment mean | | | | | | |
| Use adjust | ted mean as primary | / mean | | | | | |
| Calculate | Calculate adjusted mean only when justified by AOV | | | | | | |
| Mean descript | ions | | | | | | |
| Minimum a | and maximum | | | | | | |
| Standard deviation | | | | | | | |
| Median | | | | | | | |
| Adjusted mean (when available) | | | | | | | |
| Arranged | | | | | | | |
| O Beside mean 💿 Under mean | | | | | | | |
| Descriptive sta | atistics | | | | | | |
| LSD (or H | SD if Tukey's) | | | | | | |
| Standard of | deviation | | | | | | |
| Coefficient of variation (CV) | | | | | | | |
| Grand mea | an | | | | | | |
| | eity of variance test | | | Levene's | ~ | | |
| Friedman's method for randomised blocks | | | | | | | |
| Skewness | i - | | | | | | |
| Kurtosis | | | | | | | |
| ✓ Post-hoc p | ower analysis | | | | | | |